

**Charlotte-Mecklenburg
HISTORIC LANDMARKS COMMISSION
May 11, 2026
Hybrid Meeting
Charlotte-Mecklenburg Government Center, Room 266
6:00 p.m. – 8:18 p.m.**

Draft Minutes

Present

Matt Browder/County
Brian Clarke/County/HLC Vice Chair
Andrew Dunn/County/HLC Secretary (remote)
Andra Eaves/County
Victoria Grey/County/HLC Treasurer/Property Oversight and Engagement Chair
John Kincheloe/City/Survey Committee Chair
Emily Makas/Mayor
Charlie Miller/City
Melanie Reddrick/City/HLC Chair
Jason Tapp/County
Peter Wasmer/Mayor
Stewart Gray, HL Director
John Howard, HL Historic Preservation Manager
Tommy Warlick, HL Historic Preservation Specialist
Elizabeth Stuart, HL Senior Administrative Support Assistant

Absent

Note: This meeting was held virtually through the Microsoft Teams video conferencing platform and in person at the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Government Center.

1. Adoption of the Consent Agenda

a. Approval of HLC April Minutes

Commissioner Tapp presented a motion seconded by Commissioner Grey that the Historic Landmarks Commission approve the consent agenda as presented for the approval of the Historic Landmarks Commission's April 13 meeting minutes. The Commission unanimously approved the motion.

2. Chair's Report: Melanie Reddrick

a. Upcoming HLC Vacancies

Chair Reddrick stated that Christina Benton's vacancy and Charlie Miller's reappointment will be considered by Charlotte City Council at its meeting tonight. She

stated that Brian Clarke's vacancy and Andra Eaves' reappointment will be considered by the Mecklenburg Board of County Commissioners on August 5. She stated that those interested in applying for Vice Chair Clarke's vacancy should apply by July 27.

3. Public Comment Period

No one signed up to speak.

4. Conceptual Plan Review

Chair Reddrick read the following: Staff may introduce projects for a non-binding review by the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Historic Landmarks Commission (CMHLC). The Conceptual Plan Review is not subject to a quasi-judicial hearing. The purpose of the Conceptual Plan Review is to allow a project team to present high level design concepts for non-binding comments from the CMHLC prior to applying for a Certificate of Appropriateness. A quorum of the CMHLC is not required.

a. Builders Building, 312 W. Trade Street, Charlotte

Steven Radney presented an adaptive reuse concept to restore the building and create a luxury boutique hotel. He stated that the concept includes building a parking structure with first floor retail.

Commissioners agreed that the addition's design should be differentiated from the original historic façade.

Chair Reddrick stated that any proposed addition should be able to be easily removed without damaging the historic building.

b. Louise Cotton Mill, 1101 Hawthorne Lane, Charlotte

Historic Preservation Manager Howard stated that this property was considered by the Commission several years ago for an outparcel development. He explained that the property owners are now considering an infill development with two new buildings on the land in front of the mill.

Patrick Baker, attorney, showed images of the property and explained how the site was cleaned up and is now a desirable residential space. He stated that one of the proposed infill buildings is in the existing designated site and the other proposed infill building would be on land recently acquired outside of the designated site. He stated that the view of the mill property would be preserved. He stated his interest in amending the designation to remove the land where the building labeled HML II is located.

Note: Vice Chair Clarke joined the meeting at 6:27 p.m.

Chair Reddrick stated the importance of the view from the mill and asked if the building could still be seen from Louise Avenue. Baker stated that the view is completely unobstructed from Louise Avenue and explained that the view is already obstructed on Hawthorne Lane due to existing buildings and the curve of the road. He stated his intent to preserve this view even though it is already limited. Chair Reddrick emphasized showcasing the preservation of views, particularly from Louise Avenue.

Chair Reddrick asked if the Commission has any control over the views of designated landmarks. Director Gray stated that there would be no say on views of neighboring properties if there is no rezoning petition. He stated that the Commission could consider requesting that the property owners place a deed covenant on the property that states that the view would be unobscured in exchange for de-designating a portion of the property.

Baker indicated a willingness to discuss deed restrictions.

c. Bryant Park, 1701 W. Morehead Street, Charlotte

Howard explained the layout of Bryant Park and noted that it is separated by a stream with the side containing the stone wall and baseball field included in the historic landmark designation. He stated that the conceptual plans consider how people access Bryant Park.

Katie Daughtry, Senior Park Planner for Mecklenburg County Park and Recreation Department, stated that her team has been tasked with determining how to maintain the park's historic character while enhancing mobility access to ensure that the park is accessible to everyone. She stated that the baseball field will remain and will be regraded to drain towards the creek. She stated that the walls will be maintained with plans to reactivate the top part of the walls with an outdoor classroom. She explained how additional path networks would take users through the walls and down to the lower part of the walls and to the fields.

Commissioner Tapp asked if the historic stairs would be changed. Daughtry stated there are no plans for the stairs.

Chair Reddrick asked about the condition of the stones. Jeff Mis with Land Design stated that the team will ensure that the stones are structurally sound once the connection points have been determined.

5. Quasi-Judicial Hearings

a. Certificate of Appropriateness Application for the Mallonee-Jones House, 400 E. Kingston Avenue, Charlotte

Landmark Name: Mallonee-Jones House

Landmark Address: 400 East Kingston Avenue (Dilworth)

City State Zip: Charlotte, NC 28203
Applicant Name: Jeanna Holmes, Charlotte In-Vironments
Item: Application for COA #HLC549

The Commissioners affirmed that they do not have any known conflicts of interest which would prevent their participation in this hearing, and that they have not engaged in any ex parte communication which would prevent their participation in this hearing.

The following persons were sworn in to give testimony at the hearing: John Howard, Stewart Gray, Jeanna Holmes

Staff presented the findings of fact.

Staff Report and Comments

Mallonee-Jones House
400 East Kingston Avenue
Charlotte, NC 28203
Application for COA HLC549

Landmark Description

The Mallonee-Jones House, ca 1926, was constructed by Charlotte builder Julius Morris Mallonee. The house was designed by C.C. Hook, the first licensed architect to live continuously in Charlotte. Most of Hook's early commissions were homes in the Dilworth neighborhood. Mallonee himself was called the "pioneer builder of Charlotte, buying lots and building homes and selling them outright." The house is a two-story Queen Anne style with iconic exterior and interior details in the woodwork. *HLC SR 1/2/1980.*

Project Description

The proposal is to enclose the second floor sleeping porch to create a conditioned space by replacing the screening with wood trim, casement windows. A previous application was approved by the Commission on September 8, 2025, which included the enclosure of the lattice porch on the first floor below.

Exhibits presented to and considered by the Commission:

Exhibit A – Context Map

Exhibit B – Existing Conditions

Exhibit C – Proposed Plans

Staff Comments

The HLC has acknowledged the need to alter or add to a historic property to meet continuing or new uses while retaining the property's historic character under the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation*. Based upon the information presented in the application, staff offers the following applicable findings of fact with staff comments italicized.

Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation

Rehabilitation is defined as the act or process of making possible a compatible use for a property through repair, alterations, and additions while preserving those portions or features which convey its historical, cultural, or architectural values.

1. A property shall be used for its historic purpose or be placed in a new use that requires minimal change to the defining characteristics of the building and its site and environment. **It will remain a single-family house.**
2. The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize a property shall be avoided. **The proposed project does not negatively impact the historic character of the house. The window replacement retains approximate proportions of the original screening in the upper section on the side elevation. Wood lap siding replaces the lower section on the rear. The rear window is narrower than the screen opening¹ lap siding will be used to fill the gap areas. Window trim details and material are consistent with the new, previously approved windows. All paired casement windows are 3'-8" x 4'- 8 1/2".**
3. Each property shall be recognized as a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or architectural elements from other buildings, shall not be undertaken. **The proposed change from screens to windows does not create a false sense of historical development.**
4. Most properties change over time; those changes that have acquired historic significance in their own right shall be retained and preserved. **Not applicable.**
5. Distinctive features, finishes, and construction techniques or examples of craftsmanship that characterize a historic property shall be preserved. **Not applicable.**
6. Deteriorated historic features shall be repaired rather than replaced. Where the severity of deterioration requires replacement of a distinctive feature, the new feature shall match the old in design, color, texture, and other visual qualities and, where possible, materials. Replacement of missing features shall be substantiated by documentary, physical, or pictorial evidence. **Not applicable.**

7. Chemical or physical treatments, such as sandblasting, that cause damage to historic materials shall not be used. The surface cleaning of structures, if appropriate, shall be undertaken using the gentlest means possible. **Not applicable.**

8. Significant archeological resources affected by a project shall be protected and preserved. If such resources must be disturbed, mitigation measures shall be undertaken. **Not applicable.**

9. New additions, alterations, or related new construction shall not destroy historic materials that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and shall be compatible with the massing, size, scale, and architectural features to protect the historic integrity of the property and its environment. **Not applicable.**

10. Alterations, new additions, and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired. **Not applicable.**

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends Approval of the application.

Commissioners' Questions for Staff

Commissioner Browder questioned whether the sections indicated on the diagram are included in the proposal. Howard stated that was correct.

Applicant Comments

Jeanna Holmes, Residential Designer with Charlotte In-vironments, stated that the property owners would like to enclose the second-floor space and waterproof the space below. She stated that the windows chosen were to show that it is a sleeping porch. She stated the importance of enclosing the space to keep the sleeping porch from further disintegration.

Commissioners' Questions for Applicant

Chair Reddrick asked about the type of interior damage observed due to the current openness of the porch. She also asked about flooring concerns.

Applicant Response

Holmes stated that the original pine floors would not withstand further damage from the elements. She explained that the floors would need to be removed to waterproof the space below and noted that the owners would like the floors to be reinstalled. She stated that the two exterior walls would need to be insulated.

Public Comments

There were no public comments made in support of or opposition to this application.

Commissioners' Comments

There were no additional comments from the Commission.

Vice Chair Clarke presented a motion that the Historic Landmarks Commission find as a fact that the proposed project, if constructed according to the plans reviewed at this meeting, is in harmony and consistent with the character of the landmark and meets Standards #1, #2, and #3 with the remaining Standards not applicable. Commissioner Grey seconded the motion.

Once made, there was no more discussion of the motion

The Commission unanimously approved the motion.

Vice Chair Clarke presented a motion that the Historic Landmarks Commission approve the Certificate of Appropriateness for the Mallonee-Jones House, 400 East Kingston Avenue, Charlotte, N.C., with the condition that the applicant continue to work with Historic Landmarks staff regarding the exact sizes of the windows. Commissioner Grey seconded the motion.

Once made, there was no more discussion of the motion

The Commission unanimously approved the motion.

b. Certificate of Appropriateness Application for the Jesse and Mary K. Washam Farm, 15715 Davidson-Concord Road, Davidson

Landmark Name: Jesse and Mary K. Washam House

Landmark Address: 15715 Davidson-Concord Road

City State Zip: Davidson, NC 28036

Applicant Name: Phillips Bragg

Item: HLC550

The Commissioners affirmed that they do not have any known conflicts of interest which would prevent their participation in this hearing, and that they have not engaged in any ex parte communication which would prevent their participation in this hearing.

The following persons were sworn in to give testimony at the hearing: John Howard, Stewart Gray, Susan Mayer, Phillips Bragg

Staff presented the findings of fact.

Staff Report and Comments
Jesse and Mary K. Washam House
15715 Davidson-Concord Road
Davidson, NC 28036
Application for COA HLC550

Landmark Description

The Washam Farm is an excellently preserved example of a twentieth-century farmstead – the house and eclectic collection of early-twentieth century outbuildings form a comprehensive complex that retains its original pastoral setting despite nearby residential and commercial development. The Washam Farmhouse, originally a three-room tenant house, is indicative of Mecklenburg County's small farmsteads, which expanded and evolved to fit the needs of growing families and changing farming operations. *HLC SR, 1/30/2002.*

Project Description

The property will be converted to a commercial agriculture use. The Major Works project items include:

- Replacement of the rear porch and bathroom and raising the new roof approximately 8 inches
- Widening doorways for ADA compliance
- Conversion of the pump house into a bathroom
- New landscaping, driveway, parking and ADA ramp
- The remainder of the improvements are routine repair and maintenance

Exhibits presented to and considered by the Commission:

Exhibit A – Context Map

Exhibit B – Existing Conditions

Exhibit C – Proposed Plans

Staff Comments

The HLC has acknowledged the need to alter or add to a historic property to meet continuing or new uses while retaining the property's historic character under the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation.*

Based upon the information presented in the application, staff offers the following applicable findings of fact with staff comments italicized.

Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation

Rehabilitation is defined as the act or process of making possible a compatible use for a property through repair, alterations, and additions while preserving those portions or features which convey its historical, cultural, or architectural values.

1. A property shall be used for its historic purpose or be placed in a new use that requires minimal change to the defining characteristics of the building and its site and environment. ***The property will be used as commercial business related to agriculture. The proposed changes are minor and in keeping with the historic character of the original house and outbuilding.***
2. The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize a property shall be avoided. ***The proposal retains prominent historic features. The widening of doorways for ADA compliance does not negatively impact its historic character.***
3. Each property shall be recognized as a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or architectural elements from other buildings, shall not be undertaken. ***Not applicable.***
4. Most properties change over time; those changes that have acquired historic significance in their own right shall be retained and preserved. ***Not applicable.***
5. Distinctive features, finishes, and construction techniques or examples of craftsmanship that characterize a historic property shall be preserved. ***Distinctive features will be preserved and repaired where applicable.***
6. Deteriorated historic features shall be repaired rather than replaced. Where the severity of deterioration requires replacement of a distinctive feature, the new feature shall match the old in design, color, texture, and other visual qualities and, where possible, materials. Replacement of missing features shall be substantiated by documentary, physical, or pictorial evidence. ***Deteriorated features will be repaired.***
7. Chemical or physical treatments, such as sandblasting, that cause damage to historic materials shall not be used. The surface cleaning of structures, if appropriate, shall be undertaken using the gentlest means possible. ***Harsh treatments will not be used.***
8. Significant archeological resources affected by a project shall be protected and preserved. If such resources must be disturbed, mitigation measures shall be undertaken. ***Not applicable.***
9. New additions, alterations, or related new construction shall not destroy historic materials that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and shall be compatible with the massing, size, scale, and architectural features to

protect the historic integrity of the property and its environment. ***The changes to the rear are compatible in massing, scale, size and materials.***

10. Alterations, new additions, and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired. ***Not applicable.***

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends Approval of the application.

Commissioners' Questions for Staff

Commissioner Browder noted the zoning code for accessibility upgrades on historic structures.

Commissioner Grey stated her preference to place the ramp on the back of the building rather than on the front.

Commissioner Wasmer asked where the main public entrance is located. Phillips Bragg, applicant, stated that the main public entrance is on the front of the building with an alternate entrance in the back.

Commissioner Wasmer asked for the site plan. Gray stated that staff will include site plans in future reports.

Applicant Comments

Bragg had no additional comments.

Commissioners' Questions for Applicant

Chair Reddrick asked about the location of parking.

Vice Chair Clarke asked if the tin roof would be demolished.

Applicant Response

Bragg stated that parking would be on the west side of the home.

Howard explained that the roof will be raised. He stated that the chimney is proposed to be removed.

Susan Mayer, consultant, noted that the chimney does not have a fireplace.

Public Comments

There were no public comments made in support of or opposition to this application.

Commissioners' Comments

There were no additional comments from the Commission.

Vice Chair Clarke presented a motion that the Historic Landmarks Commission find as a fact that the proposed project, if constructed according to the plans reviewed at this meeting, is harmonious and consistent with the character of the landmark and meets Standards #1, # 2, #5, #6, #7, and #9 with the remaining Standards not applicable. Commissioner Eaves seconded the motion.

Once made, there was no more discussion of the motion

The Commission unanimously approved the motion.

Vice Chair Clarke presented a motion that the Historic Landmarks Commission approve the Certificate of Appropriateness for the Jesse and Mary K. Washam House, 15715 Davidson-Concord Road, Davidson, N.C., with the condition that the applicant continue to work with Historic Landmarks staff regarding accessibility features. Commissioner Grey seconded the motion.

Once made, there was no more discussion of the motion

The Commission unanimously approved the motion.

6. Survey Committee Report: John Kincheloe

a. Consideration of Designation Reports

i. Sugaw Creek Presbyterian Church Cemeteries #1 and #2, 335 W. Craighead Road, Charlotte, and 4130 N. Tryon Street, Charlotte

Commissioner Kincheloe stated that the Survey Committee met recently to discuss new historic landmark designations plus one amended designation.

Historic Preservation Specialist Warlick showed a map of Cemeteries #1 and #2 and explained that a third church cemetery is not included in this recommended designation. He explained that this church is the oldest still active Presbyterian Church congregation in Mecklenburg County. He stated that Cemetery #1 contains 48 known graves in addition to unknown graves, including some that lay outside of the stone wall that was reconstructed by the local Daughters of the American Revolution chapter in 1914.

Warlick stated that Cemetery #2 is across the street from the church and has the same type of stone walls and a stone staircase that leads to the original north entrance of the cemetery. He stated that this cemetery contains almost 300 known graves and several unknown graves.

Warlick explained that Reverend Alexander Holmes Craighead, the County's first full-time religious leader, is buried in Cemetery #1. He stated that Hezekiah Alexander and his cousin Abraham Alexander are buried in Cemetery #2. He noted that these cemeteries include 20 headstones created by the Bigham Family Stonecutters Shop.

The Survey Committee presented a seconded motion to the Historic Landmarks Commission that it process the Sugaw Creek Presbyterian Church Cemeteries #1 and #2, 335 W. Craighead Road, Charlotte, N.C., and 4130 N. Tryon Street, Charlotte, N.C., for historic landmark designation, including the properties and fixtures associated with the two tax parcels, including without limitation all graves, grave markers, tombs, and architectural structures and features on each of the two properties. The Commission unanimously approved the motion.

ii. McClintock Presbyterian Church, 14008 Erwin Road, Charlotte

Warlick showed a map of the property and noted that the McClintock Rosenwald School on the site is already designated as a historic landmark. He stated that this church was formally organized in 1866 and was the first Presbyterian Church in Mecklenburg County and one of the first two Presbyterian churches in North Carolina founded by the newly emancipated freedpeople after the Civil War. He stated that the church was founded by Reverend Samuel Alexander, who was the minister at Steele Creek Presbyterian Church at the time. He stated that the church is also one of the first two original members of the Catawba Presbytery.

Warlick stated that the church looks much different than when it was originally built. He stated that the original wood frame structure is enclosed within the brick cladding. He stated that the original church had two turrets so that men and women could enter the church separately. He stated that the church was changed in 1961-62 with the turrets removed, façade changes to the front, and the addition of the brick cladding. He stated that the structure can be thought of as two churches with historic significance. He stated that the congregation does not know what is behind the interior wood paneling. He stated that the original wood floors are below the carpeted floors.

Vice Chair Clarke stated that there is also a cemetery on the parcel. Warlick stated that graves are scattered over a wide-open area. He stated that the north portion and back portion are heavily forested with many graves. He stated that the church's oral tradition believes that there are enslaved and formerly enslaved people buried there. He stated that there are a lot of unmarked graves on this property.

Commissioner Grey asked if the interior is included in the designation recommendation. Warlick stated that the interior is included. He stated that the congregation is interested

in reverting it to the original space. He stated that the church is not in good condition and noted that the congregation is currently meeting in the school building.

The Survey Committee presented a seconded motion to the Historic Landmarks Commission that it process the McClintock Presbyterian Church, 14008 Erwin Road, Charlotte, N.C., for historic landmark designation, including the interior and exterior of the church building, and all of the property associated with the tax parcel and the structures and fixtures on that property, including the cemetery and the remains of the ca. 1885 one-room schoolhouse but excluding the McClintock Rosenwald School. The Commission unanimously approved the motion.

iii. Jefferson-First Union Plaza, 301 S. Tryon Street, Charlotte

Gray stated that this would be the first post-WWII building over 10 stories tall designated as a historic landmark. He explained that this building is one of the forerunners of the current character of Uptown Charlotte as a banking center. He showed the part that is recommended for designation, which includes the tower and hyphen plus the associated land. He stated that the owner is applying for National Register tax credits. He circulated a letter detailing support for landmark designation from the City of Charlotte's Economic Development Department.

The Survey Committee presented a seconded motion to the Historic Landmarks Commission that it process the Jefferson-First Union Plaza, 301 S. Tryon Street, Charlotte, N.C., for historic landmark designation, including the exterior of the tower and hyphen, the land included in the tower and hyphen, the interior of the lobby and the following interior items: lobby configuration, travertine wall and column cladding in the lobby, cruciform columns in the lobby, configuration (not finishes) of the circulation and mechanical core on each floor, and the historic mail chutes. The Commission unanimously approved the motion.

iv. Amendment for the Liddell-McNinch House, 511 N. Church Street, Charlotte

Gray stated that this is one of the most significant and prominent residences in its original location in Charlotte's Fourth Ward District. He stated that the house was designated in the 1970s as one of the first postbellum buildings recommended for designation by the Commission. He explained that the land was not originally included in the designation. He stated that the owners are trying to preserve the property as an adaptive reuse project. He stated that the tax benefits provided to historic properties will help the owners preserve the house. He stated that the designation report makes a strong case for including the land and explicitly including the interior in the designation.

The Survey Committee presented a seconded motion to the Historic Landmarks Commission that it amend the Liddell-McNinch House, 511 N. Church Street, Charlotte, N.C., historic landmark designation to include the interior and exterior of the house and the associated tax parcel. The Commission unanimously approved the motion.

b. These Properties Were Added to the Study List of Prospective Historic Landmarks

Warlick briefly reviewed the following properties:

- i. Sugaw Creek Presbyterian Church, 101 W. Sugar Creek Road, Charlotte**
- ii. 400 Queens Road Condominiums, 400 Queens Road, Charlotte**
- iii. Davis Upholstery Shop, 314 Meyer Lane, Pineville**
- iv. Davidson Railroad Depot, 215 Jackson Street, Davidson**
- v. 422 Heathcliff Street, Charlotte**

7. Rules of Procedure Changes (HLC Officer Roles)

This item was deferred to a future meeting.

8. Nominating Committee Report

Chair Reddrick stated that Vice Chair Clarke will lead this Committee. Commissioner Tapp volunteered to serve on the Committee.

9. Update on Manor Theatre

Gray noted the resolution that the Commission passed at a previous meeting, calling on the owners to incorporate the property's history into the new development. He stated that staff has seen the proposed plans and explained that the owners are interested in preserving some of the cupolas on the buildings and historic architecture on the new development.

10. Designation Updates

a. Faires Farm Silos, 9520 Faires Farm Road, Charlotte

Warlick stated that this property was recently designated as a historic landmark by Charlotte City Council. He stated that the neighboring community is excited about the designation and is planning a celebration in June.

11. Project Updates

a. Student Proposals for the Kelly M. and Margaret G. A. Alexander House, 2128 Senior Drive, Charlotte

Chair Reddrick stated that she, Commissioner Makas, and Howard attended the student proposals. She stated that staff will compile the reviews for the Commission to discuss at a future meeting.

b. Edgewood Farm, 11132 Eastfield Road, Huntersville

Gray stated that staff is recommending a price reduction from \$699,000 to \$649,000. He stated that the Commission bought the property for \$500,000. He stated that the Commission voted to defer decisions to the officers and have the officers work with the realtor to sell this property.

c. Torrence-Lytle School, 13900 Holbrooks Road, Huntersville

Howard stated that the final walkthrough was on May 1. He stated that the Request for Proposals deadline is May 15. He stated that two proposals have been submitted so far with other parties indicating an interest in submitting proposals.

12. Community Outreach Updates

a. Trivia Night at Heist Brewery NoDa (May 20)

Gray encouraged Commissioners to celebrate Meck Dec Day on May 20 at trivia night at Heist Brewery.

b. Historic Matthews Cemetery Headstone Cleaning (April 18)

Gray stated that there was a good turnout at the latest headstone cleaning event.

c. Charlotte Transportation History Bike Tour (May 3)

Gray stated that there was a lot of interest in this bike tour with 50 people quickly registering.

13. Revolving Fund Financial Report: Victoria Grey

There were no substantial changes in the financial report since last month's report.

14. Historic Landmarks Staff Report

Warlick introduced Rachael Brown, who will be interning with the department for the summer.

15. Old Business

There was no old business.

16. New Business

Vice Chair Clarke stated that the Thrift Mill was recently demolished after the Commission was required to grant a Certificate of Appropriateness for the demolition.

Commissioner Tapp stated that Atlas Preservation is bringing its 48 State Tour to Settlers Cemetery on June 11 to demonstrate proper cleaning techniques for headstones.

The meeting adjourned at 8:18 p.m.